



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,919	08/31/2001	Maria Castellanos	HP-10007912	3894
7590 05/12/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			AMSBURY, WAYNE P	
Intellectual Prpe	erty Administration		<u> </u>	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2171	8
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/944,919	CASTELLANOS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Wayne Amsbury	2171

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examinatio	on (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) The no ON	ne period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a sevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extension fee have been fee under 37 ((2) as set forth	6.07(f). ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□ A No 37 C	otice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗌	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🔲	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3. Appli	icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment celing the non-allowable claim(s).
	a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the lication in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ed by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	ourposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an landarion of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Clair	m(s) allowed:
Clair	m(s) objected to:
Clair	m(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 15-35</u> .
Clair	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The 6	drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.□ Othe	er:
	WAYNE AMSBURY
	PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant fails to recognize the breadth of the claims, presents arguments previously applied in large part, misdirects arguments toward references not applied to limitations addressed by an argument, and ignores previous responses to similar arguments.